International Summit on ICT in Education
EDU-SUMMIT 2009

ICT in Teaching and Learning
Report from the Working groups on ICT and the teacher/learner perspective
June 12. 2009

Alona Forkosh-Baruch
Tel-Aviv University and Levinsky College of Education – Israel
David Gibson
University of Vermont – USA
Renate Schulz-Zander
TU Dortmund University – Germany
Mary Webb
King's College London – UK

Introduction

The following paper summarizes the outcomes of the Teacher and Learner working group meetings during the EDUsummIT – the international summit on ICT in Education. The paper is based on findings derived from the chapters of the International Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education, as well as from studies performed by the participants of the working-group and by others known to members of the working group.

We begin with research-based claims, divided into three sections: claims on ICT and pedagogy, claims regarding teachers' perspectives on ICT, and claims concentrating on students' perspectives on ICT. We further define actionable goals and objectives for the future of ICT in teaching and learning, based on the claims; then, we suggest strategies for attaining these goals and objectives.

Claims

ICT and Pedagogy

1. Pedagogical perspectives

1.1. A complex relationship exists between technology and pedagogy, which can be illustrated by the pendulum metaphor. The emphases shift from one to the other: while technology facilitates novel pedagogies, new forms of pedagogy enable the implementation of even more novel technologies developing constantly and vice versa (Ching, 2009; Kozma, 2003; Wozney, Venkatesh and Abrami, 2006).

1.2. The use of ICT must be underpinned by the dynamic interplay of learning theories and pedagogical principles. Technology should not be used alone to drive pedagogy (Lai, 2008).

1.3. ICT should be embedded in the learning environment and integrated into the classroom culture (Lai, 2008).

1.4. Collaborative environments would help with technology integration particularly when teachers understand the underlying philosophy (Webb, 2009; Johnson, Johnson and Stanne, 2000; Stevens and Palacio-Cayetano, 2003; Eickelmann and Schulz-Zander, 2008) because a hallmark of the new technology is that it empowers a "participatory culture" (Jenkins, Purushotma, Clinton, Weigel, and Robison, n.d.; Squire and Jenkins, 2003)

2. *IT and the Curriculum*

   2.1. ICT changes the body of knowledge expertise, facilitating a diversity of resources, team teaching, new mix of expert personnel (learning facilitators, information professionals, subject experts, technicians) (Gibson, 2003, 2006).

Teacher

3. *Teacher Beliefs, Attitudes and Practices:*

   3.1. Research highlights the role of teachers beliefs and attitudes in ICT implementation (Bai and Ertmer, 2008; Koster, Brekelmans, Korthagen and Wubbels, 2005) as well as social and cultural contexts (Knezek and Christensen, 2008; Park and Ertmer, 2007; Pearson and Somekh, 2006).

   3.2. Teachers' beliefs are deeply seated and control their approach to new practice so there is a need to develop different pathways or a synergy between beliefs, attitudes and practices (Cox, 2008; Cox and Webb, 2004).

   3.3. Teachers embody new practice, adopting for themselves new technologies which they expect learners to benefit from (Kozma, 2003; Law, Pelgrum, and Plomp, 2008). The more benefits are perceived by teachers the more likely they adopt the new technologies (Owston, 2003).

   3.4. Teachers do not use IT in innovative ways automatically; more often they integrate the technologies in their routines (Müller, Blömeke, and Eichler, 2006). In general this does not change teaching (Tubin, Mioduser, Nachmias and Forkosh-Baruch, 2003) as studies indicate using a triangulation approach including different observational measures (Schulz-Zander, Pfeifer and Voss, 2008).

4. *Professional Development*

   4.1. Professional Development of teachers for ICT implementation must emphasize quality, be embedded in teaching and learning, and be holistic in nature (Lawless and Pellegrino, 2007; Penuel, Fishman, Yamaguchi and Gallagher, 2007).

   4.2. Teacher professional development is crucial for ICT implementation (Knezek, Christensen, Mayes and Morales, 2005), teachers state a lack of pedagogical and didactical measures (Law and Chaw, 2008; Eickelmann, 2009).

   4.3. There is growing recognition of the importance in using online communities of practice as a model for teacher professional development (Kirschner and Lai, 2007). Furthermore intra-school offers and informal learning within the teacher community plays an important role and should be considered in school concepts and policy plans (Dexter, 2008; Eickelmann and Schulz-Zander, 2008)

Learner

1. *New Generation*

   1.1. Research indicates there is a new generation learner being created as a result of ICT penetrating our lives (Beck and Wade, 2004; Prensky, 2001a, 2001b)

   1.2. There is a need for multiple new literacies in the Digital Age (Mioduser, Nachmias and Forkosh-Baruch, 2008; Jenkins et al., n.d.), especially those preparing for life long learning (Voogt, 2008) and work force needs of the 21st Century (P2CS, 2008).

   1.3. ICT has an impact on identity and self belief, interests, strengths and aspirations, confidence in ability to learn, and personal, informal use of ICT (research on partial anonymity – successes and problems) (Gibson, 2008; Schrier and Gibson, in press)

1.4. Online environments affect learning processes: gamers for example, are literate users of technology who acquire a large scope of skills and have a need to connect with outside groups (Gibson, Aldrich and Prensky, 2007; Sorenson and Meyer, 2007)

2. *Skills*

2.1. Diverse learners' aptitudes, information skills and judgement with ICT can be overestimated (Bennett, 2007; Laurillard, 2007).

2.2. Do students use technology well? Literature review states that exposure to ICT is not high and not innovative (Cox and Marshal, 2007; Cox, 2008). Informal use of computers for entertainment purposes does not necessarily increase relevant competencies for school, whereas program and communication-related uses do (Wittwer and Senkbeil, 2008).

2.3. Expert Internet usage among youth is an overstatement, since they fail to evaluate and criticize information and can fail to handle high loads of information (Zheng, 2008)

2.4. New feedback of data from ICT tools used by learners permits new action research, regarding meta-cognition skills (Laurillard, Oliver, Wasson, and Hoppe, 2009)

3. *Motivation*

3.1. Delight leading to productive engagement can be facilitated through ICT tools (Foreman et al., 2004; Gee, 2004; Squire and Jenkins, 2003), although this can be challenged by ICT unreliability (Millwood, 2000).

3.2. ICT facilitates co-design of learning by learners, ownership of their curriculum ICT competences and intrinsic motivation (Shroff and Vogel, 2009).

3.3. High levels of motivation are gained from mobile student-owned devices (Dede, Nelson, Ketelhut, Clarke, and Bowman, 2004) and the use of ICT within a new learning culture (Bull et al., 2008). Follow-up SITES-M2 study – motivation of students 4th-12th graders – motivation for using technology decreases slightly in schools by age when it is not combined with a new learning culture (Eickelmann and Schulz-Zander, 2008).

4. *Attitudes*

4.1. In places where IT implementation is widespread, 20% of the students do not want to use IT in their learning; this complies with a general population figure of people who are not motivated to engage in learning with IT. Overall students' attitudes and the perceived value of its use are positive and they would like to use it more often (Eickelmann and Schulz-Zander, 2008).

4.2. Willingness to risk mistakes can be supported through ICT approaches (provisionality etc.) and is increasingly an expectation of the digital native generation (Beck and Wade, 2004; Prensky, 2001b)

5. *Outcomes*

5.1. German and international studies show a positive effect of ICT use on reading and writing competencies whereas for other domains results are inconsistent, The time frame of several studies are meant to be even longer for further results. Best effects on school effectiveness are connected with mobile device (Eickelmann and Schulz-Zander, 2008)

5.2. PISA and PIRLS 2006 show: Students from with higher socio economic background profit from better access to ICT and parental support to use ICT for educational tasks to which the school has to counterbalance in terms of equal opportunities for all students (Senkbeil and Wittwer, 2008; Schulz-Zander, Eickelmann and Goy, in press).

5.3. In a follow-up study of the School of the Future (IL) – achievements were higher for this IT enriched school in traditional measurements (Chen, 2006).

5.4. SITES-M2 secondary analysis (IL) – research framework according to which students' roles change as a result of innovative IT implementation (Mioduser et al., 2006).

5.5. Technology is effective if teachers use it to engage students in the learning tasks, scaffold them to inquire, discover, critique, create, and apply new understanding and knowledge, within a community of learners (Law, 2008).

5.6. Some uses of simulations improved understanding of science beyond that achieved by other pedagogical approaches particularly where its use is planned and supported by teachers and the simulations address difficult science concepts (Webb, 2008).

Goals and actions for the future of ICT in teaching and learning

The review of the evidence base for ICT in teaching and learning can be summarized in claims relating to four main themes:

1. Learners' needs, expectations, knowledge and skills are changing, as a result of digital technology, in complex and diverse ways that we are only beginning to understand.
2. Relationships between technology, pedagogy and the curriculum are complex.
3. Teachers need time and professional development opportunities in order to adapt.
4. Evidence for benefits of using technology for learning is growing but the evidence base inevitably lags behind the rapid technological developments.

Major actionable goals for the future of ICT in teaching and learning are identified in Table 1. Specific objectives are presented in relation to each of the goals. While the strategies for achieving the goals, as shown in Table 1, align approximately to the main goals that they support, most of the strategies relate to more than one goal. The seven major goals focus on research frameworks, researching learners' communication, collaboration, teacher development, organisation of knowledge expertise, as well as a broader review of the general goals of education.

Table 1   Goals, objectives and strategies for the future of ICT in teaching and learning

Goals for the future of ICT in teaching and learning	Objectives for ICT in teaching and learning	Strategies for ICT in teaching and learning
Research frameworks 1 Understand better the complexity of pedagogy, learning theory & technology and their interrelationship, by proposing clearer conceptual analysis based on a systems approach (using ecology, cybernetics, inter-disciplinary thinking etc.).	Develop a new theory base that integrates learning theory, pedagogy and technological affordances within an understanding of emergent phenomena in complex systems.	Understand change as a process rather than an event Use a systems approach and combine bottom up and top down research strategies Establish inter-disciplinary research teams Develop complex models e.g. by using ecological approaches or complexity theories Use multilevel analysis techniques

Research on learners 2 Discover how the characteristics of learners affect how ICTs impact and have interaction effects on the learner and on classroom culture.	Understand 21st century learners in relation to their use of ICT Understand how to develop 21st century learners in relation to their use of lCTs Understand the impact of ICTs on learners Understand the impact of ICTs on classroom culture.	Encourage practitioner research
Communication 3 Through better design & communication, explain findings and analysis of complex systems to public, policy makers and practitioners.	Policy makers and stakeholders understand the nature of technology use in learning and its benefits and limitations Policy makers and stakeholders understand 21st century learners	Publish and share results via traditional and new platforms e.g. on YouTube Connect research and practice through evidence-based research
Goals for the future of ICT in teaching and learning	Objectives for ICT in teaching and learning	Strategies for ICT in teaching and learning
Collaboration 4 Develop a community of practice in order to advance organisational growth in the profession	Establish mechanisms for joint knowledge creation by teachers, learners and policy makers Develop shared understanding of ICT and learning	Develop / describe scenarios for learning with ICT
Teacher development 5 Inform and develop teachers' understanding, strategies, planning and practice by clarifying what levels of responsibility / skill / capacity / multi-tasking are available to what age / developmental stage of learner to engage in a participatory, creative, online culture of knowledge acquisition & sharing when ICT tools are widely available.	Enhance motivation, attitudes and beliefs of teachers Develop shared understanding of 21st century learners' needs, IT literacies and extent and range of competencies Develop shared understanding of characteristics of the 21st century teacher e.g. – flexibility, open-minded, adaptability Develop teachers' understanding of how to use ICT Embed ICT in the curriculum Empower teachers that debate knowledge as a normal process Create rich learning environments Enable teachers to participate in learning communities incorporating teachers, learners	Change teachers' views on education by enabling envisioning and scenario building Focus on both pedagogy and technology Implement ICT in pre-service education > change teacher education Teacher curriculum for pre-service education Integrate IT competencies in school programs: for teachers and students Develop strategies for understanding the nature of new technologies as they become available Facilitate collaboration through learning communities

		Consistency in teacher development; financing
		Embed ICT in all teacher professional development
Organisation of knowledge expertise	Enable teachers to be effective in classrooms	In-service professional development through sharing good practice
6 Change the organisation of knowledge expertise towards a diversity of resources, team teaching, new mix of expert personnel (learning facilitators, information professionals, subject experts, technicians).	Cope with media to evaluation of information	Envision how to create the 21st century learner?
	Educate learners about ICT	Assuming new technologies are better than older ones: create imbalance. Then strive for new balance by introducing disruptive technology
	Develop awareness of disruptive innovation in classrooms and schools	
	Develop understanding of ddisruptive innovation theory	Integrate IT on the input level – facilitate sustainability

Goals for the future of ICT in teaching and learning	Objectives for ICT in teaching and learning	Strategies for ICT in teaching and learning
Overall goals of education 7 Review the **goals of education** in the light of affordances of ICT-based approaches to learning and teaching (including lifelong-learning, cultural, social, citizenship and workplace issues).	Understand the needs of the future citizen Re-define educational goals Ethical and proper use of technology – teachers and students Create a framework for alternative assessment Create learning communities – collaboration and sharing Equity in IT implementation	Create a learning environment for the whole school community (teachers and students) Promote authentic usable learning situations Consider: ▪ should life be dominated by technology? ▪ how to live in a digital world ▪ outcomes from research and development in ICT and learning

Epilogue

The conceptual frameworks underpinning the relationship between teaching, learning and information and communication technologies are manifold and complex. In fact, the relations between them are associated with several concepts related to several components of the school milieu, including curricular issues, policy issues and leadership within the school. As features on this complex landscape, this working-paper sheds light on two of the core and vital aspects of education - teaching and learning.

References

Bai, H. and Ertmer, P.A. (2008). Teacher Educators' beliefs and Technology Uses as Predictors of Preservice Teachers' Beliefs and Technology Attitudes. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 16*(1), 93-112.

Beck, J., and Wade, M. (2004). *Got game: How the gamer generation is reshaping business forever*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press**.**

Bennet, W.L. (2007). *Civic Life Online: Learning How Digital Media Can Enhance Youth*. Boston: MIT Press.

Bull, G., Thompson, A., Searson, M., Garofalo, J., Park, J., Young, C., et al. (2008). Connecting informal and formal learning experiences in the age of participatory media. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 8*(2).

Ching, G.S. (2009). Implications of an experimental information technology curriculum for elementary students. *Computers and Education, 53*, 419–428

Cox, M.J. (2008). Researching IT in Education, in Voogt, J. and Knezek, J. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education*, Section 10: Researching IT in Education (pp. 965-982). New York: Springer.

Cox, M.J. and Marshall, G. (2007). Effects of ICT: Do we know what we should know? *Education and Information Technologies, 12*, 59-70.

Dede, C., Nelson, B., Ketelhut, D., Clarke, J., and Bowman, C. (2004). Design-based research strategies for studying situated learning in a multi-user virtual environment. Retrieved Jan 26, 2006, from http://muve.gse.harvard.edu/muvees2003

Dexter, S. (2008). Leadership for IT in Schools. In J. Voogt and G. Knezek (eds.), *International Handbook of Information Technology* (pp. 543-554). New York: Springer.

Eickelmann, B. and Schulz-Zander, R. (2008). Schuleffektivität, Schulentwicklung und digitale Medien. In W. Bos, H. G. Holtappels, H. Pfeiffer, H.-G. Rolff and R. Schulz-Zander (eds.), Jahrbuch der Schulentwicklung. Band 15. (pp. 157-194). Weinheim: Juventa.

Eickelmann, B. (2009). Erfolgreich digitale Medien in Schule und Unterricht integrieren – Eine empirische Analyse zur Nachhaltigkeit der Implementation digitaler Medien aus Sicht der Schulentwicklungsforschung. Dissertationsschrift. Dortmund Technische Universität Dortmund.

Foreman, J., Gee, J., Herz, J., Hinrichs, R., Prensky, M., and Sawyer, B. (2004). Game-based learning: How to delight and instruct in the 21st century. *EDUCAUSE Review, 39*(5), 50-66.

Gee, J. (2004). *What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Gibson, D. (2003). *New directions in e-learning: Personalization, simulation and program assessment.* Paper presented at the International Conference on Innovation in Higher Education, Kiev, Ukraine 2003.

Gibson, D. (2006). Elements of Network-Based Assessment. In D. Jonson and K. Knogrith (Eds.), *Teaching Teachers to Use Technology* (pp. 131-150). New York: Haworth Press.

Gibson, D. (2008). Make it a two-way connection: A response to "Connecting informal and formal learning experiences in gthe age of participatory media. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 8*(4), n.a.

Jenkins, H., Purushotma, R., Clinton, K., Weigel, M., and Robison, A. (n.d.). Confronting the challenges of participatory culture: Media education for the 21st Century [Electronic Version]. *New Media Literacies Project*, 72. Retrieved April 5, 2009, from http://www.newmedialiteracies.org/files/working/NMLWhitePaper.pdf

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Stanne, M. B. (2000). *Co-operative Learning Methods: A Meta-Analysis*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota

Kirschner, P.A. and Lai, K.W. (2007). Online communities of practice in education. Technology, *Pedagogy and Education, 16,*2, 127–131.

Knezek, J., Christensen, R., Mayes, G. and Morales, C. (2005). A Comparison of Self-Report and Observer Ratings of Educator Technology Integration Proficiency. In C. Crawford et al. (Eds), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 892-897). Chesapeake, VA: AACE.

Knezek, J. and Christensen, R. (2008). The Importance of Information Technology Attitudes and Competencies in Primary and Secondary Education, in Voogt, J. and Knezek, J. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education*, Section 4: IT Competencies and Attitudes (pp. 321-332). New York: Springer.

Koster, B. Brekelmans, M., Korthagen, F. and Wubbels, T. (2005). Quality requirements for teacher educators. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 21*, 157–176.

Kozma, R.B. (Ed.) (2003). *Technology, Innovation and Educational Change – A global perspective*. Eugene, Oregon: ISTE Publications.

Lai, K.W. (2008). ICT Supporting the Learning Process: The Premise, Reality, and Promise, in Voogt, J. and Knezek, J. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education*, Section 3: IT and the Learning Process (pp. 215-230), New York: Springer.

Laurillard, D. (2007). Modelling benefits-oriented costs for technology enhanced learning. *High Education, 54*, 21–39.

Laurillard, D., Oliver, M., Wasson, B., and Hoppe, U., (2009). Implementing Technology-Enhanced Learning, in Balacheff, N., Ludvigsen S., de Jong T. Lazonder, A. and Barnes S. (Eds), *Technology-Enhanced Learning: Principles and Products* (pp. 289-306). Netherlands: Springer

Law, N. and Chaw, A. (2008). Teacher Characteristics, Contextual Factors, and how these affect the pedagogical use of ICT. In N. Law, W. J. Plegrum, and T. Plomp (eds.), Pedagogy and ICT

use in schools around the world. Findings from the IEA SITES 2006 study. (pp. 182-221). Hong Kong: CERC-Springer.

Law, N., Pelgrum, W.J. and Plomp, T. (2008). Pedagogy and ICT Use in Schools around the World. HK: CERC, Springer.

Lawless, K.A. and Pellegrino, J.W. (2007). Professional Development in Integrating Technology into Teaching and Learning: Knowns, Unknowns, and Ways to Pursue Better Questions and Answers. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(4), 575-614.

Millwood, R (2000) A new relationship with media?, in Gamble, N. and Easingwood, N. (Eds.), *ICT and literacy: information and communications technology, media, reading and writing*. London: Continuum.

Mioduser, D., Nachmias, R., and Forkosh-Baruch, A. (2008). New literacies for the knowledge society. In Knezek, J. and Voogt, J. (eds.). *International Handbook of Information Technology in Education* (pp. 23-42). NY: Springer.

Müller, C., Blömeke, S. and Eichler, D. (2006). Unterricht mit digitalen Medien - zwischen Innovation und Tradition? Eine empirische Studie zum Lehrerhandeln im Medienzusammenhang. Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft, 9 (4), 632-650.

Owston, R.D. (2003). School context, sustainability, and transferability. In R. B. Kozma (ed.), *Technology, innovation and educational change: A global perspective* (pp. 125-162). Washington D. C: ISTE.

P2CS. (2008). Partnership for 21st Century Skills.   Retrieved Sept 9, 2008, from http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/

Park, S.H. and Ertmer, P.A. (2007). Impact of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) on Teachers' Beliefs Regarding Technology Use. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 40*(2), 247–267.

Pearson, M. and Somekh, B. (2006). Learning transformation with technology: a question of sociocultural contexts? *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 19*(4), 519-539.

Penuel, W.R., Fishman, B.J., Yamaguchi R.Y. and Gallagher, L.P. (2007). What Makes Professional Development Effective? Strategies that Foster Curriculum Implementation. *American Education Research Journal, 44*(4), 921-958.

Prensky, M. (2001a). *Digital game-based learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Prensky, M. (2001b). Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants. *On the Horizon, 9*(5).

Schulz-Zander, R., Eickelmann, B. and Goy, M. (in press). Mediennutzung, Medieneinsatz und Lesekompetenz. In Bos et al. (eds.), IGLU 2006. Band 3. Münster: Waxmann.

Schulz-Zander, R., Pfeifer, M. and Voss, A. (2008). Observation Measures for Determining Attitudes and Competencies Toward Technology, in Knezek, J. and Voogt, J. (eds.). *International Handbook of Information Technology in Education* (pp. 367-379). NY: Springer.

Schrier, K., and Gibson, D. (Eds.). (in press). *Ethics and game design: Teaching values through play*. Hershey, PA: IGI.

Senkbeil, M. and Wittwer, J. (2008). Antezedenzien und Konsequenzen informellen Lernens am Beispiel der Mediennutzung von Jugendlichen. Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft. Sonderheft 10/2008, pp. 109-128.

Sørensen, B.H. and Meyer, B. (2007). Serious Games in language learning and teaching – a theoretical perspective. *Proceedings of the Digital Games Research Association (DiGRA) Conference*. Tokyo, Japan.

Squire, K., and Jenkins, H. (2003). Harnessing the power of games in education. *Insight, 3*(5), 5-33.

Stevens, R., and Palacio-Cayetano, J. (2003). Design and performance frameworks for constructing problem-solving simulations. *Cell Biology Education, 2*(Fall), 162-179.

Tubin, D., Mioduser, D., Nachmias, R., and Forkosh-Baruch, A. (2003). Domains and levels of pedagogical innovation in schools using ICT: ten innovative schools in Israel. *Education and information technologies,* 8(2), 127-145.

Voogt, J. (2008). IT and curriculum processes: dilemmas and challenges. In J. Voogt and G. Knezek (eds.), International Handbook of Information Technology (pp. 117-132). New York: Springer.

Webb, M. E. (2008). Impact of IT on science education. In J. Voogt & G. Knezek (Eds.), *International Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education* (pp. 133-148). London: Springer.

Webb, N. (2009). The Teacher's Role in Promoting Collaborative Dialogue in the Classroom. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 79*(1), 1-28.

Wittwer, J. and Senkbeil, M. (2008). Is students' computer use at home related to their mathematical performance at school? *Computers and Education, 50*, 1558-1571.

Wozney, L., Venkatesh V. and Abrami, P.C. (2006). Implementing Computer Technologies: Teachers' Perceptions and Practices. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 14* (1), 173-207.

Zheng (2008). *Cognitive effects on Multimedia Learning*. US: Information Science Reference.